

## DETC2003/PTG-48124

### EFFECT OF SUPERFINISHING ON SCUFFING RESISTANCE

**Lane W. Winkelmann**  
REM Research Group, Inc.  
Brenham, Texas, USA 77833

**Mark D. Michaud**  
REM Chemicals, Inc.  
Brenham, Texas, USA 77833

**Ray W. Snidle**  
Cardiff University  
Mechanical Engineering and Energy Studies Division  
Cardiff CF24 OYF, Wales, UK

**Mark P. Alanou**  
Cardiff University  
Mechanical Engineering and Energy Studies Division  
Cardiff CF24 OYF, Wales, UK

#### ABSTRACT

A fundamental understanding of gear scuffing has been promoted for a number of years by the use of twin disc scuffing rigs. Quantities of interest such as friction and bulk temperature are more easily and less expensively measured than performing actual gear testing. This paper discusses the scuffing test data performed on specimens superfinished using chemically accelerated vibratory finishing. One set of samples was superfinished using a high density non-abrasive ceramic media, while another set of samples was superfinished using a non-abrasive plastic media. This data is compared to other specimens with different surface finishes tested under identical conditions.

#### INTRODUCTION

Laboratory and field testing of specimens and gears superfinished with chemically accelerated vibratory finishing incorporating high density non-abrasive ceramic media has been shown to greatly extend cycle life due to reductions in pitting and wear [2]. The isotropic surface generated is unique when compared to even the finest honing and lapping in that it has no directionality and a final  $R_a < 0.08 \mu\text{m}$ . The texture consists of only random scratches and shallow dents. The current interest was to determine if this finish would also benefit scuffing resistance. In addition, specimens were superfinished with this process to an even smoother surface ( $R_a < 0.02 \mu\text{m}$ ) to determine if it imparts still better performance. The much smoother surface was generated using non-abrasive plastic media instead of ceramic. Both superfinished surfaces were tested for scuffing resistance and compared to specimens with varying surface roughness.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST

##### Testing Apparatus

The scuffing test bench as described by Patching et al. has been developed entirely at Cardiff University and is fully described elsewhere [1]. Apart from the high speed/high temperature capability other special features are the use of crowned discs to give a self-aligning contact, and axial finish of the disc surfaces, which reproduces the orientation of finish found on most types of gears. The machine was designed to impose a maximum load of 4 kN at the contact which, for the geometry chosen (i.e., 76.2 mm diameter discs, nominally 10 mm wide, each with 304.8 mm crown radius) gives a maximum Hertzian contact pressure of about 1.7 GPa. The bulk temperature at the surfaces in the inlet to the lubricated contact that is of key importance in elastohydrodynamic lubrication is measured by embedding thermocouples just beneath the surface of both discs. The tangential friction force between the discs is measured by monitoring the torque in the shaft driving (or, strictly braking) the slower speed test shaft.

The load applied to the contact between the discs is carefully controlled. The load is increased at intervals of three minutes until either scuffing failure is detected or the load limit of the machine is reached before a scuff occurs. The increment by which the load is increased at each stage was chosen to give a constant increase in the dry contact (Hertzian) pressure for a nominally smooth surface. The load stages and the corresponding loads and maximum Hertzian pressures are given in Table 1.

Load Stage	Time (min)	Load (N)	Max Hertz Pressure (GPa)
1	0	180	0.6
2	3	290	0.7
3	6	430	0.8
4	9	620	0.9
5	12	850	1.0
6	15	1120	1.1
7	18	1460	1.2
8	21	1850	1.3
9	24	2320	1.4
10	27	2850	1.5
11	30	3450	1.6
12	33	4150	1.7

**Table 1. Standard loading sequence of test rig.**

To conduct a test the machine is assembled with the discs and shafts in place. The test oil is first heated to the desired oil feed temperature. During this period the test oil is circulated through the test head so that static thermal equilibrium of the machine is attained. The test oil is heated to 100 °C and the gearbox oil to 45 °C. A light load is then applied through the hydraulic ram to bring the discs into light static contact (typically 100 N).

The disc temperatures and friction forces are continually recorded. The main drive motor for the machine is then started and the speed gradually increased manually up to the pre-determined speed for the test. The machine is allowed to run for typically three minutes, to allow the bulk temperature of the two discs to stabilize. Then the first load of the standard loading sequence is applied.

As the test approaches the load at which a scuff is expected, a careful watch is made for scuffing. Scuffing produces an unmistakably sharp rise in friction accompanied by corresponding rapid increases in the temperature of both discs. The load is released and the machine is turned off and allowed to cool. The discs are then inspected to confirm scuffing.

**Description of the Disc Specimens**

Ground case carburized disc test samples were supplied by Cardiff University to REM Chemicals, Inc. The base material was AMS 9310 and heat treatment was performed to aerospace type specification as listed in Table 2. Each disc had a diameter of 76.2 mm and a diameter of approximately 10 mm with a 304.8 mm crown radius. At the machine’s maximum load of 4 kN, a maximum Hertzian contact pressure of about 1.7 GPa is attained. The test samples used were axially ground at Cardiff University, rather than in the more usual circumferential direction. An axial finish simulates the orientation of roughness in gears where rolling and relative sliding of the surfaces occur in a direction perpendicular to that in which the surfaces are finished. The typical level of surface roughness for the as-ground discs is  $R_a = 0.4 \mu\text{m}$ .

Normalize and temper raw stock	
• Normalize at 930°C ± 10°C	3 hours ± 15 minutes
• Harden at 850°C ± 10°C	3 hours ± 15 minutes
• Temper at 530°C ± 10°C	3 hours ± 30 minutes
Carburize at 927°C ± 10°C	
• Case Depth (50 HRC)	0.036 - .042 inch
• Surface Carbon	0.65 – 0.95% Carbon
Cool to room temperature	
Stress relieve at 566 - 621°C	4 hours ± 15 minutes
Copper plate all over	For protection during hardening
Harden at 788 - 829°C	For 30 minutes at temperature
Quench in oil at 24 - 60°C	
Subzero treat within 60 minutes of quenching at -79°C	3 hours minimum
Temper at 160°C ± 5°C	3 hours ± 15 minutes
Final hardness	60 – 63 HRC
RC 60 depth to be 45% of 0.036 inch	0.016 inch of as carburized case
Core hardness	36 – 41 HRC
As carburized case depth (50 HRC)	0.036 – 0.042 in.
Surface finish after grind	0.4 μm Ra

**Table 2. Processing protocol of discs.**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SUPERFINISHING PROCESS**

**Chemically Accelerated Vibratory Finishing**


Details of superfinishing using chemically accelerated vibratory finishing have been published in detail elsewhere [2, 3]. The following is a brief summary of the technique. The superfinishing is produced in vibratory finishing bowls or tubs. An active chemistry is used in the vibratory machine in conjunction with media. When introduced into the machine, this active chemistry produces a stable, soft conversion coating on the surface of the metal part(s) being processed. The rubbing motion across the part(s) developed by the machine and media effectively wipes the conversion coating off the “peaks” of the part’s surfaces, but leaves the “valleys” untouched. (No finishing occurs where media is unable to contact or rub.) The conversion coating is continually re-formed and rubbed off during this stage producing a surface smoothing mechanism. This process is continued in the vibratory machine until the surfaces of the part(s) are free of asperities. At this point, the active chemistry is rinsed from the machine with a neutral soap. The conversion coating is rubbed off the part(s) one final time to produce the superfinished surface. In this final step, commonly referred to as burnishing, no metal is removed.

**Media Description**

Two different medias were used to determine the effect of surface roughness on scuffing resistance. Three sets of discs were superfinished using a high density non-abrasive ceramic media yielding a final roughness level of about 0.033  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $R_a$ ). Two other sets of discs were superfinished using a light non-abrasive plastic media and had a final roughness level of about 0.017  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $R_a$ ). The physical properties of the two media are shown in Table 3 and images are shown in Fig. 1.


Media	Composition	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Hardness
Ceramic	Fired ceramic containing no abrasive particles	2.8	845 – 1200 DPH
Plastic	50% calcined alumina by weight bonded with unsaturated polyester resin	1.8	57 Barcol Scale

**Table 3. Description of ceramic and plastic media used to superfinish discs.**



**Fig 1. Images of high density non-abrasive ceramic (top) and non-abrasive plastic media (bottom).**

SEM images of the surfaces of the two medias are shown in Fig. 2. Notice that the FM-9 has protuberances, which can cause light scratches on the surface. On the other hand, the plastic media has plateaus of non-abrasive calcined alumina, which will give a more polished effect.




**Fig 2. SEM images at 500X of the ceramic (top) and plastic (bottom) media used to superfinish the surface of the specimens.**


**Superfinished Surface Characterization**

The superfinished surfaces produced by the two media are radically different. See Fig 3. It is apparent that the superfinished surface produced with the non-abrasive high density ceramic media has a more textured appearance, whereas the lighter non-abrasive plastic superfinished surface is much smoother.


Three surface traces were taken approximately 120° apart on four discs finished with the non-abrasive ceramic media and four discs with the non-abrasive plastic media by Cardiff University. Fig. 4 is an example of the surface trace of a disc superfinished with the ceramic media, and Fig. 5 is an example of the surface trace of a disc superfinished with the plastic media.



**Fig 3. SEM images at 500X of surfaces superfinished with ceramic (left) and plastic (right) media.**



**Fig 4. Profilometer trace of disc surface superfinished with ceramic media.**



**Fig 5. Profilometer trace of disc surface superfinished with plastic media.**

Test #	Disc No. (Ceramic Media)				Disc No. (Plastic Media)			
	94	74	55	63	91	90	4	54
1	0.0297	0.0347	0.0342	0.0380	0.0161	0.0186	0.0162	0.0187
2	0.0305	0.0338	0.0366	0.0368	0.0158	0.0178	0.0156	0.0156
3	0.0285	0.0311	0.0346	0.0336	0.0161	0.0170	0.0193	0.0158
Mean	0.0296	0.0332	0.0351	0.0361	0.0160	0.0178	0.0170	0.0167
<b>Grand Average</b>	<b>0.0335</b>				<b>0.0169</b>			

**Table 4. Surface roughness measurements (microns) taken approximately 120° apart on four discs finished with the non-abrasive ceramic media and four discs with the non-abrasive plastic media.**

It can be seen that the discs superfinished with ceramic media had a surface roughness of approximately twice that of the discs finished with the plastic media.

The crown on the specimens was also measured after the superfinishing step. The crown was the same before and after superfinishing for both the ceramic and plastic superfinished discs. No change was expected since the superfinishing removes only approximately 0.0025 mm of stock.

## **BASELINE SPECIMENS**

### **Zinc Chip Superfinished Discs**

In previous papers by Patching et al. [1,4], specimens were superfinished by a proprietary superfinishing method in which

the as-ground discs are immersed in a vibrating bath containing water, abrasive powder and small zinc chips. The discs take on an almost mirror finish and an  $R_a$  of  $< 0.1 \mu\text{m}$  can be achieved. These superfinished discs were tested under identical conditions to the discs superfinished using chemically accelerated vibratory finishing, and therefore the effect of these different superfinishing processes on scuffing can be examined.

### **As-Ground Discs**

In a previous paper, Patching et al. [1] also tested as-ground specimens. These were identical to the ones described earlier.

## RESULTS

Test	Ceramic Media			Plastic Media		Zinc chips		As Ground		
	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	3
Peripheral Velocity of Fast Shaft (m/s)	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95	20.95			
Peripheral velocity of Slow Shaft (m/s)	4.94	4.94	4.94	4.94	4.94	4.94	4.94			
Mean Entraining Velocity (m/s)	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95
Sliding Velocity (m/s)	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0
Scuffing Load (N)	<b>4150*</b>	<b>4150*</b>	<b>4150*</b>	<b>3450</b>	<b>3450</b>	<b>4150</b>	<b>3452</b>	<b>2320</b>	<b>2320</b>	<b>2320</b>
Maximum Bulk Temperature of Fast Disc (°C)	187	malfunction	168	152	149	201	199	189	197	204
Maximum Bulk Temperature of Slow Disc (°C)	154	152	142	125	124	153	144	190	176	178
Mean Bulk Temperature of Discs (°C)	170	n/a	155	138	136	177	171.5	189.5	186.5	191
Maximum Peak Hertzian Contact Pressure (GPa)	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.60	1.60	1.70	1.60	1.40	1.40	1.40
Traction Coefficient at Scuffing Load	0.011	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.028	0.028	0.028

\*No scuffing occurred even after the maximum load was maintained for 30 minutes.

**Table 5. Results of scuffing test.**

## CONCLUSIONS

1. The chemically accelerated vibratory finished discs using ceramic media experienced no scuffing even after extending the test time to 30 minutes at the highest test load. This preliminary data shows it has surface characteristics that help resist scuffing under the test conditions.
2. The much smoother chemically accelerated vibratory finished discs using plastic media, scuffed at an unexpected much lower load.
3. It may be postulated that the surface texturing from the ceramic media aids in the lubrication process. A smoother surface is not necessarily better. More testing needs to be done to establish this.
4. The zinc chip superfinished discs outperformed the plastic superfinished discs, but did not give the remarkable performance of the ceramic superfinished discs.

## REFERENCES

- [1] *Conditions for Scuffing Failure of Ground and Superfinished Steel Disks at High Sliding Speeds Using a Gas Turbine Engine Oil*, M. J. Patching, C. C. Kweh, H. P. Evans, R. W. Snidle, Transactions of the ASME, **117**, July 1995
- [2] *Chemically Accelerated Vibratory Finishing for the Virtual Elimination of Wear and Pitting for Steel Gears*, L. Winkelmann, M. Michaud, G. Sroka, A. Alan Swiglo, and D. Mahan, AGMA, 01FTM07, 2001
- [3] *The Effect of Chemically Accelerated Vibratory Finishing on Gear Metrology*, Joseph Arvin, Ali Manesh, Mark Michaud, Gary Sroka, Lane Winkelmann, AGMA, 02FTM1, 2002
- [4] *Micro-EHL Analysis of Ground and Superfinished Steel Discs Used to Simulate Gear Tooth Contacts*, M. J. Patching, H. P. Evans and R. W. Snidle, Tribology Transactions, STLE, **39**, 1996, 3, 595-602.